

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Original Application No. 125/2018  
(M.A. Nos. 334/2018 & 793/2018)  
(Earlier O.A. No.163/2017 (WZ))**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Arvind Pundalik Mhatre  
Vs.  
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change &Ors.**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE DR. NAGIN NANDA, EXPERT MEMBER**

**Present: Applicant :** Dr. Sudhakar E. Avhad, Mr. Arvind S. Avhad,  
Mr. Lalit Mohan and Mr. Chetan R. Nagare, Mr.  
Karri Venkat Reddy, Advs.

**Respondents :** Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv. Dr. Y.B. Santakke, Director  
and Dr. AN Harshvardh, RP for MPPCB  
Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh for Central Pollution  
Control Board  
Mr. Shaurya Sahah, Adv.  
Ms. Sakshi Popli, Adv. for Mr. Divya Prakash Pande,  
Adv for MoEF & CC  
Mr. Karan Mathur, Adv. and Mr. Iro D'costa, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Kumar and Mr. Kumar Abhishek, Advs.  
Mr. Guruprasad Pal and Ms. Ramni Taneja, Advs.  
Mr. Rajiv Bansal, Sr. Adv., Mr. Kush Sharma, Mr.  
Prateek Gautam and Ms. Kamna Singh, Advs.  
Mr. Tarunvir Singh Khehar, Ms. Guneet  
Khehar and Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Advs.

<b>Date and Remarks</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
<b>Item No. 05  August 17, 2018  SS &amp; DV</b>	<p>1. The grievance raised in these proceedings relates to discharge of effluents in river Kasardi, having adverse impact on the environment. Though a Common effluent treatment plant (CETP) is being managed by the "Taloja CETP Co-operative Society Limited" since 2013, the problem continues.</p> <p>2. On 04<sup>th</sup>April, 2018, the matter was considered by this Tribunal, in the light of the joint inspection report, submitted in pursuance of earlier order of the Tribunal. The joint inspection was conducted by the Central Pollution Control Board and the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board. In the said report, it was found that effluents not meeting the standards, were being</p>

	<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>discharged as the CETP was not properly maintained. The Tribunal recorded the statement of the respondents that fresh tender was to be issued for the maintenance of the CETP.</p> <p>3. It was noted that the effluent discharge was high and alarming and was going into the creek wali from which the water was being used for drinking as well. The Taloja CETP Co-operative Society was directed to forthwith stop the discharge which was not meeting the parameters. The Society was also required to deposit a sum of Rs. 5 Crore with the District Magistrate to be kept in a separate fund. The said Society was also directed to commence the work of upgrading the CETP. Thereafter, the Pollution Control Board was directed to conduct an inspection after one month to suggest effective ways to prevent discharge which was not meeting the parameters and also to submit an action plan.</p> <p>4. On 11<sup>th</sup> May, 2018, it was noted that the CETP was not made functional. Accordingly, the Pollution Control Board was directed to ensure that the industries not operating their ETPs and releasing their untreated effluents be shut down.</p> <p>5. On 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2018, the Tribunal noted that 18 industries were shut down and Show Cause Notices were given to others. Direction was issued to close down other industries continuing to release untreated effluents or not having consent to operate.</p> <p>6. Again, on 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2018, this Tribunal referred to the affidavit of the Society dated 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 to the</p>
--	---	--

	<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>effect that there was huge accumulation of sludge in the sump which was to be removed for which 4 months time was required, there was need to repair 4-5 motors for pumping of the effluents from the sump collection tank; the pipelines were required to be repaired as the same were leaking; the oxygen diffusion system was to be replaced; aeration tank was to be cleaned up; tender has been issued for the sludge removal; present status of the CETP was as per Maharashtra Pollution Control Board parameters; 18 industries were closed and Show Cause Notices were given to 92 industries, on account of which there is fall in the inlet COD levels coming into the Taloja CETP. The Tribunal noted that there was no occasion to reconsider the direction for depositing of Rs. 5 Crore as CETP was still not fully functional and untreated effluents were being discharged for about 5 years. Accordingly, a fresh inspection was directed to be carried out by the Central Pollution Control Board and the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board. It was noted that the turnover of the industries in the area was about Rs. 6,000 Crores annually. There was imminent danger to the life of the local population and to the fauna. It was also observed that further amount may be required to be deposited on assessment of damage to the environment.</p> <p>7. Today, a joint inspection report of inspection conducted by the Central Pollution Control Board and Maharashtra Pollution Control Board on 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2018, has been furnished. The report <i>inter-alia</i> states that out of 229 effluent generating units, 195 units were sending their effluents to the CETP. The Maharashtra Pollution</p>
--	---	---

	<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>Control Board has issued closure directions to 34 members and show cause directions to 119 units (learned counsel for Maharashtra Pollution Control Board states that 119 is a typing error for 149). The flow meter at the CETP at the inlet and outlet was not operational. Effective aeration was not provided due to choking in the pores of diffusers in the aeration tank. Housekeeping of CETP was poor. Huge quantity of sludge was found stored near the collection tank of the CETP.</p> <p>8. It was concluded by the joint inspection team that due to closure of 34 units and show cause directions to 149 units, inlet quality was controlled. There was reduction in the concentration of COD. Final conclusion is as follows:-</p> <p><b><u>“CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:</u></b></p> <p><i>Due to closure directions and show cause directions from MPCB to member units 195 out of total effluent generating industrial units in the MIDC are discharging effluent to CETP. Thus, CETP is receiving controlled flow and concentration load in terms of COD and BOD. In spite of that CETP is not able to meet the norms. In full capacity the situation may be much worse. It can be inferred that the inlet condition is temporarily controlled due to closure directions of units. The inlet concentration though well within the design parameters of CETP except pH (acidic range) and Ammonical Nitrogen. The overall reduction of about 22% in the concentration of COD, from inlet to final outlet, indicates poor performance of CETP.</i></p> <p><i>The CETP has not yet started implementing most of the recommendations made by the joint team of Central Pollution Control Board and MPCB during earlier visit. The only work of de-sludging from the collection tank near distribution chamber has been initiated. The de-sludging activity, handling storage of sludge was not found satisfactory. No proper records for the sludge removal and disposal are maintained by the CETP.</i></p> <p><i>The diffused aeration system was not found adequate in terms of physical condition and performance.</i></p> <p><i>The sludge dewatering, handling and storage</i></p>
--	---	--

**Item No.  
05**

**August  
17, 2018**

**SS & DV**

system practiced by CETP found to be unsatisfactory as the SDBs of phase-II is not provided with leachate collection and transfer system. SDBs of Phase-I having leachate collection tank and flexible pipes are reportedly used for transfer of leachate to aeration tank. However, proper and fixed transfer system of leachate to equalization tank needs to be provided for both the phase. The decanter installed at the phase-II was not working.

Civil structure, railing of collection tank, aeration tank was found damaged and needs improvement.

The OCEMS and flow meter installed by the CETP at the inlet and outlet was not operational during visit as sensors were observed submerged in the sludge and proper working of sensor in such condition is technically not possible. Leakages from sludge transfer pump, glad leakage, accumulation of acidic wastewater near sludge drying beds of phase-II, storage of sludge on open land adjacent to sludge drying bed near collection tank and overall housekeeping shows negligent approach.

The final outlet sample collected from CETP significantly exceeds MPCB discharge standards for the concentration of TSS, FDS, COD, BOD, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, TKN, phenols, PO<sub>4</sub>-P, Cl, S<sub>2</sub>, CN, Fe and Pb. MIDC has to provide the final disposal point in the deep sea as suggested by NIO. MIDC also need to repair and maintain the leakage in the discharge pipeline.

It is therefore concluded that the CETP has not taken sincere efforts for execution of recommendations given in the earlier reports submitted to Hon'ble NGT. MPCB is in the opinion that change of the management committee of CETP, administration of Registrar of Societies to newly elected committee are the reason for the same. Thus, CETP continue to violate the MPCB discharge norms.

It is recommended that CETP should devise systematic time bound approach to address the issues starting from the very first step of treatment scheme to the end. The CETP management is required to revisit the recommendations made in earlier as well as in present reports and also to integrate efforts as stakeholders to implement them without further delay.”

9. The above conclusion clearly shows that the substantial improvement has not taken place and the CETP continues to violate the Maharashtra Pollution

	<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>Control Board discharge norms. Recommendations have been made that CETP should devise time bound approach to address the issues and to integrate the efforts of the stakeholders and thus implement them without any further delay. We have no reason to reject the report jointly submitted by the Central Pollution Control Board and the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board.</p> <p>10. On being repeatedly asked the learned Counsel for the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board has not be able to furnish any reply to the question as to why in spite of such serious violations no prosecution has been initiated. Only explanation now furnished is that the default is on the part of the CETP. If the CETP is not working and the effluents continue to be discharged into the river against the norms, the industries whose effluents are being discharged cannot be said to be complying with the law and cannot be absolved of liability under the criminal law.</p> <p>11. The Maharashtra Pollution Control Board must forthwith perform its legal duties in this regard. The Board cannot remain content with show cause notices if untreated effluents are discharged. Show cause notice must be followed up by further legal action. It is not clear as to why inspite of show cause notice, proceedings are being kept pending for such long time even in emergent situation and in the face of clear inspection reports. There is no clear stand by the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board as to what steps are to be taken for restoring the damage to the environment already caused. We also do not find any action plan for examining the fate of the</p>
--	---	---

	<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>victims who may have suffered on account of pollution in the river.</p> <p>12. In above circumstances, we are left with no alternative but to direct that appropriate action be taken under the civil and criminal laws against the erring industries as well as for failure of MIDC and the CETP Co-operative Society, to perform their duties. Action may have to be considered against office bearers who are presently running the Society as well as those who were earlier managing the same so as to stop any discharge above the norms prescribed under the law. The Maharashtra Pollution Control Board may take administrative action against the erring officials besides taking steps for restoring the damage to the environment and also for the rehabilitation for affected inhabitants in the area.</p> <p>13. In view of complex nature of the problem and also the magnitude of the damage already done and which is being continued, we will enhance the amount of Rs.5 Crore required to be deposited by the Society to Rs. 10 Crore to be deposited with the District Magistrate within one month. The Society may collect the amount from defaulting industrial units.</p> <p>14. Next question is how the order is to be executed. Section 25 of the NGT Act provides for execution of the order as a decree of Civil Court. Either Tribunal has to act as Civil Court or transfer the execution to Civil Court. When pollution is patent, there is no difficulty in requiring remedial measures being taken for protection of environmental. Execution remains a challenge. Coercive</p>
--	---	---

<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>measures prescribed include filing complaint for prosecution under the Act or attachment and arrest under the CPC. The situation of the present nature requires continuous action not only to ensure that pollution stops but also that the damage is restored. In such situation, sui generis proceeding needs to be adopted for execution with this being done order of the Tribunal will remain on paper. The Tribunal has, in several earlier orders, including similar cases in the context of pollution of rivers Ganga, Ghaggar and Hindon appointed monitoring/execution committees headed by former High Court Judges to ensure credible mechanism. This experience appears to have helped the situation. Accordingly, to handle the situation in the present case, we constitute a Monitoring Committee to execute the order of this Tribunal as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Justice V.M. Kanade, former Judge of Bombay High Court (Phone No. 98203082207), Chairman.</li> <li>ii. Representative of Central Pollution Control Board, Member.</li> <li>iii. Collector of the area, Member.</li> </ol> <p>15. The Committee will take following steps:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Take stock of all the actions taken so far in the light of the various directions of the Tribunal.</li> <li>(ii) Propose time bound action plan to deal with the problem. The Committee may suggest the framework for implementation. Preferably a</li> </ol>
---	---

	<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>comprehensive, integrated and inclusive strategy with clear measurable indicators of progress and success.</p> <p>16. The online mechanism for monitoring the performance of individual ETPs and Common Effluent Treatment Plant (CETP) should also be connected to the servers of CPCB and State Pollution Control Boards so that the CPCB can also monitor the data.</p> <p>17. The Monitoring Committee may also setup a website for receiving and giving information on the subject.</p> <p>18. The Committee may also involve educational institutions for expectations, awareness and feedback about the results.</p> <p>19. All the authorities concerned in the State Maharashtra will co-operate and co-ordinate with the Monitoring Committee. The Monitoring Committee can seek such technical and scientific assistance as may be required from any relevant authority.</p> <p>20. We request the Chief Secretary, Maharashtra to provide all support and facilities to the said Committee to perform its functions. The Committee may send its quarterly reports to this Tribunal by e-mail. The Chief Secretary, Maharashtra may determine the honorarium to be paid to the Committee in consultation with the members. The Committee may requisition the services of such technical experts as may be necessary and may also carry out physical visits to the sites, whenever necessary. They will be entitled to logistic support for performing</p>
--	---	---

	<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>these functions to be provided under the directions of the Chief Secretary, Maharashtra. The Committee may assume its charge within two weeks from today. The Committee may prepare its Action Plan which may have target of ensuring compliance of prescribed standards preferably within three months. It may meet at such intervals as considered appropriate but once every month and fix next targets. The Committee will be free to take up all incidental issues. All Concerned authorities are expected to cooperate with the Committee subject to any objection being put forward before this Tribunal. The Committee will be free to seek any further directions from this Committee by Email.</p> <p>21. The above team to make a plan so that damage caused can be reversed and further damage prevented. Execution of such plan is to be overseen Any objection to working of the team can be considered by the Tribunal.</p> <p>22. The logistic support if required for functioning of the Committee may also be provided by the Maharashtra Pollution Control Board. The Committee will have an action plan prepared for rectifying the situation and fixing the responsibility on the erring persons and institutions and to oversee the implementation of the action plan.</p> <p>23. The State of Maharashtra may fix their honorarium/remuneration in consultation with the members. A copy of this order be sent to the Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra for compliance by E-Mail.</p> <p>24. The Action Plan be prepared by the Committee</p>
--	---	---

	<p><b>Item No. 05</b></p> <p><b>August 17, 2018</b></p> <p><b>SS &amp; DV</b></p>	<p>within one month. The Joint Inspection Committee of the Central Pollution Control Board/Maharashtra Pollution Control Board may submit monitoring analysis report of the industries in question responsible for the discharge of the effluents beyond the norms to the Monitoring Committee at the earliest.</p> <p>25. The application is disposed of.</p> <p>A copy of this order be forwarded to all concerned through e-mail with a direction to file a report of action taken within three months through e-mail at filing.ngt@gmail.com.</p> <p>List for consideration of the Report of the Monitoring Committee on 05<sup>th</sup> March, 2019.</p> <p>....., CP (Adarsh Kumar Goel)</p> <p>....., JM (Dr. Jawad Rahim)</p> <p>.....,EM (Dr. Nagin Nanda)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">17.08.2018</p>
--	---	--